IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 2547(M/S)/2001

(Old No.47779 /99(M/s)

Said Ahmad S/o. Mohd. Ayyub
Resident of Jaspur Distt: Nainital ……. Petitioner.

Vs.

1. Collector, Distt: Nainital
2. Branch Manager, State Bank of India, Jaspur, Nainital.

……. Respondents.

17.06.2006

Sri Khalil Ahamad, Learned counsel for the petitioner,
Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon, J.

Heard Sri Khalil Ahamad, Counsel for the petitioner and Standing counsel for the respondents.

By the present writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for a writ of certiorari quashing the recovery notice.

Briefly sated according to the petitioner, he is poor agriculture labour and landless person and he has taken loan Rs. 7,000/- on 10.11.1987 form the State Bank of India. But he could not deposit the installment in time.

In view of the fact, the petitioner is poor agriculture labour as stated in para 2 of the writ petition. Therefore, the petitioner is directed to pay entire amount in the four quarterly installments.

Subject to the aforesaid observations, the writ petition is disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

17.06.2006 **(Rajesh Tandon, J.)**
Jain